arXiv:1407.5964v2 [math.AT] 12 Jun 2015

Homogeneous strict polynomial functors as unstable modules

NGUYỄN Thế Cường*†

21st July 2014

Abstract

A relation between Schur algebras and Steenrod algebra is shown in [Hai10] where to each strict polynomial functor the author associates an unstable module. We show that the restriction of Hai's functor to the subcategory of strict polynomial functors of a given degree is fully faithfull.

1 Introduction

The search for a relation between Schur algebras and the Steenrod algebra has been a source of common interest between representation theorists and algebraic topologists for over thirty years. Functorial points of view, on unstable modules [HLS93], and on modules over Schur algebras [FS97], have given an efficient setting for studying such relation. For the Steenrod algebra side, [HLS93] uses Lannes' theory to construct a functor f, from the category $\mathscr{U}$ of unstable modules, to the category $\mathcal{F}$ of functors from finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector spaces to $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector spaces. This functor f induces an equivalence between the quotient category $\mathscr{U}/\mathcal{N}il$ of $\mathscr{U}$ by the Serre class of nilpotent modules, and the full subcategory $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ of analytic functors. The interpretation of modules over Schur algebras given by [FS97] uses an algebraic version of the category of functors, the category $\mathcal{P}$ of strict polynomial functors. The category $\mathcal{P}$ decomposes as a direct sum $\bigoplus_{d\geq 0}\mathcal{P}_d$ of its subcategories of homogeneous functors of degree d. The category $\mathcal{P}_d$ is equivalent to the category of modules over the Schur algebra $S(n,d)$ for $n \geq d$ [FS97, Theorem 3.2]. The presentation of $\mathcal{P}_d$ as a category of functors with an extra structure comes with a functor $\mathcal{P}_d \to \mathcal{F}$. Nguyen D. H. Hai showed [Hai10] that this functor $\mathcal{P}_d \to \mathcal{F}$ factors through the category $\mathscr{U}$ by a functor $\bar{m}_d : \mathcal{P}_d \to \mathscr{U}$. These functors $\bar{m}_d$ induce a functor $\bar{m} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathscr{U}$. The functor $\bar{m}$ has remarkably interesting properties. In particular, it is exact and commutes with tensor products. The relevance of this last property to computation will soon be apparent.

We observe that Hom-groups between unstable modules coming from strict polynomial functors via Hai's functor are computable: they are isomorphic to the Hom-groups of the corresponding strict polynomial functors in many interesting cases. The primary goal of this paper is to generalize these results to the whole category $\mathcal{P}_d$. The main theorem of the present work goes as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *The functor $\bar{m}_d : \mathcal{P}_d \to \mathscr{U}$ is fully faithful.*

The theorem is proved by comparing corresponding Hom-groups in the two categories. We discuss an example of interest. Let n be an integer and V be an $\mathbb{F}_2-$vector space. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on $V^{\otimes n}$ by permutations. Denote by $\Gamma^n(V)$ the group of invariants $(V^{\otimes n})^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ and by S^n the group of

Key words and phrases. Steenrod algebra, strict polynomial functors, unstable modules.

* I would like to thank Professor Lionel SCHWARTZ for urging me to prepare this paper. It is also a great pleasure for me to express my sincere thanks to all members of the VIASM for their hospitality during my two-month visit in Hanoi where the paper was baptised. I would like to take this opportunity to thank NGUYEN Dang Ho Hai and PHAM Van Tuan for having offered many significative discussions. This work would not be presented properly without precious suggestions from Vincent Franjou.

†Partially supported by the program ARCUS Vietnam MAE, Région IDF.

coinvariants $(V^{\otimes n})_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$. The free unstable module generated by an element u of degree 1 is denoted by $F(1)$. It has an $\mathbb{F}_2-$basis consisting of u^{2^k} with $0 \leq k$. To a strict polynomial functor G, Hai's functor $\bar{m}$ associates the unstable module $G(F(1))$.

Denote by Sq_0 the operation which associates to an homogenous element $x \in M^n$ of $M \in \mathscr{U}$ the element $Sq^n x$. An unstable module M is nilpotent if for every $x \in M^n$ there exist an integer N_x such that $\mathrm{Sq}_0^{N_x} x = 0$. An unstable module M is reduced if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}(N, M)$ is trivial for every nilpotent module N. It is called $\mathcal{N}il-$closed if $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{U}}(N, M), i = 0, 1$ are trivial for every nilpotent module N.

We now show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_3}\left(\Gamma^2 \otimes \Gamma^1, S^3\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(\Gamma^2(F(1)) \otimes \Gamma^1(F(1)), S^3(F(1))\right).$$

The readers of [HLS93] might expect the latter Hom-group to be isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\Gamma^2 \otimes \Gamma^1, S^3\right)$. However $S^3(F(1))$ is not $\mathcal{N}il-$closed [1] then such an expectation fails. By classical functor techniques [FFSS99, Theorem 1.7], if $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{F}$ then:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\Gamma^2 \otimes \Gamma^1, S^3\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{3} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\Gamma^2, S^i\right) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\Gamma^1, S^{3-i}\right)$$

It follows that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\Gamma^2 \otimes \Gamma^1, S^3\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2},$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_3}\left(\Gamma^2 \otimes \Gamma^1, S^3\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_2.$$

The module $S^3(F(1))$ is not $\mathcal{N}il-$closed but it is reduced. On the other hand, the quotient of the module $\Gamma^2(F(1)) \otimes \Gamma^1(F(1))$ by its submodule generated by $u \otimes u \otimes u^4$ is nilpotent. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(\Gamma^{(2,1)}(F(1)), S^3(F(1))\right) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(\left\langle u \otimes u \otimes u^4 \right\rangle, S^3(F(1))\right) \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_2.\end{aligned}$$

This example is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We end the introduction by giving some further remarks on the result and stating the organization of the paper.

Theorem 1.1 implies that the category $\mathcal{P}_d$ is a full subcategory of the category $\mathscr{U}$. Unfortunately the category $\mathcal{P}$ itself cannot be embedded into $\mathscr{U}$. There is no non-trivial morphism in the category $\mathcal{P}$ from Γ^2 to Γ^1 but

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(\bar{m}(\Gamma^2), \bar{m}(\Gamma^1)\right) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(2), F(1)\right) \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_p.\end{aligned}$$

The category $\mathcal{P}_d$ is not a thick subcategory of $\mathscr{U}$. Fix $p = 2$, let $I^{(1)}$ denote the Frobenius twist in $\mathcal{P}_2$, that is the base change along the Frobenius. It is proved in [FS97] that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_2}\left(I^{(1)}, I^{(1)}\right) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2 & \text{if } i = 0, 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hands, the corresponding Ext group in the category $\mathscr{U}$ is $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{U}}(\Phi F(1), \Phi F(1))$. As in [Cuo14]:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{U}}(\Phi F(1), \Phi F(1)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2 & \text{if } i = 2^n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_2}\left(I^{(1)}, I^{(1)}\right)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{U}}\left(\bar{m}_d(I^{(1)}), \bar{m}_d(I^{(1)})\right)$ for $i = 2^n - 2, n \geq 3$.

[1] The submodule of $S^3(F(1))$ generated by $u.u.u^4$ is concentrated in even degrees but this element does not has a square root.

Organization of the article

In section 2 we recall basic facts on the Steenrod algebra and unstable modules in the sense of [Hai10]. When $p > 2$, our version of the Steenrod algebra is slightly different from the version in the sense of [Ste62] since we do not consider the Bockstein operation. We also recall Milnor's coaction on unstable modules and how it is used to determine action of the Steenrod algebra on certain type of elements.

The next section recalls strict polynomial functors. The construction of Hai's functor is introduced and an easy observation on the existence of its adjoint functors is also given.

The structure of $\Gamma^{\lambda}(F(1))$ is treated in section 4. We show that this module is *monogenous modulo nilpotent*. A special class of *generators modulo nilpotent* of this module is computed.

The last section deals with Theorem 1.1. The proof of this theorem is based on a combinatorial process followed by some Steenrod algebra techniques.

2 Steenrod algebra and unstable modules

In this section, we follow the simple presentation in [Hai10, section 3] to define the Steenrod algebra and unstable modules.

The letter p denotes a prime number. Let $[-]$ be the integral part of a number. We denote by $\mathscr{A}$ the quotient of the free associative unital graded $\mathbb{F}_p-$algebra generated by the $\mathscr{P}^k$ of degree $k(p-1)$ subject to the Adem relations

$$\mathscr{P}^i\mathscr{P}^j = \sum_{t=0}^{\left[\frac{i}{p}\right]} \binom{(p-1)(j-t)-1}{i-pt} \mathscr{P}^{i+j-t}\mathscr{P}^t$$

for every $i \leq pj$ and $\mathscr{P}^0 = 1$ [Hai10, section 3].

An $\mathscr{A}-$module M is called unstable if $\mathscr{P}^k x$ is trivial as soon as k is strictly greater than the degree of x. We denote by $\mathscr{U}$ the category of unstable modules.

Let $\mathcal{A}_p$ be the Steenrod algebra [Ste62, Sch94]. If $p = 2$ then there is an isomorphism of algebras $\mathscr{A} \to \mathcal{A}_2$, obtained by identifying the $\mathscr{P}^k$ with the Steenrod squares Sq^k. The category $\mathscr{U}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{U}$ of unstable modules in the sense of [Sch94]. If $p > 2$, $\mathscr{A}$ is isomorphic, up to a grading scale, to the sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}_p$ generated by the reduced Steenrod powers P^k of degree $2k(p-1)$. The category $\mathscr{U}$ is equivalent to the subcategory $\mathcal{U}'$ of unstable $\mathcal{A}_p-$modules concentrating in even degrees [Sch94, section 1.6].

By abuse of terminology, we call $\mathscr{A}$ the Steenrod algebra and $\mathscr{P}^k$ the $k-$th reduced Steenrod power.

Serre [Ser53] introduced the notions of *admissible* and *excess*. The monomial

$$\mathscr{P}^{i_1}\mathscr{P}^{i_2}\dots\mathscr{P}^{i_k}$$

is called admissible if $i_j \geq pi_{j+1}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and $i_k \geq 1$. The excess of this operation, denoted by $e\left(\mathscr{P}^{i_1}\mathscr{P}^{i_2}\dots\mathscr{P}^{i_k}\right)$, is defined by

$$e\left(\mathscr{P}^{i_1}\mathscr{P}^{i_2}\dots\mathscr{P}^{i_k}\right) = pi_1 - (p-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} i_j\right).$$

The set of admissible monomials and $\mathscr{P}^0$ is an additive basis of $\mathscr{A}$.

Let $|-|$ be the degree of an element. Denote by P_0 the operation which associates to an homogenous element $x \in M^n$ of $M \in \mathscr{U}$ the element $\mathscr{P}^{|x|}x$. An unstable module M is nilpotent if for every $x \in M^n$ there exist an integer N_x such that $\mathrm{P}_0^{N_x}x = 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{N}il$ the class of all nilpotent modules.

An unstable module M is reduced if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}(N, M)$ is trivial for every nilpotent module N. It is called $\mathcal{N}il-$closed if $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{U}}(N, M), i = 0, 1$ are trivial for every nilpotent module N.

Definition 2.1 (Mod-nil epimorphism)**.** *A morphism of unstable module $M \to N$ is called mod-nil epimorphism if its cokernel is nilpotent .*

Let n be a positive integer. We denote by $F(n)$ the free unstable module generated by a generator $\imath_n$ of degree n. These $F(n)$ are projective satisfying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}(F(n), M) \cong M^n$. When $n = 1$ such a generator is denoted by u rather than $\imath_1$. As an $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector space, $F(1)$ is generated by $u^{p^i}, i \geq 0$. Action of the reduced Steenrod power $\mathscr{P}^k$ is defined by:

$$\mathscr{P}^k\left(u^{p^i}\right) = \begin{cases} u^{p^i} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ u^{p^{i+1}} & \text{if } k = p^i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There is an isomorphism of unstable modules $F(n) \cong \left(F(1)^{\otimes n}\right)^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ where the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts by permutations. Then we can identify $F(n)$ with the submodule of $F(1)^{\otimes n}$ generated by $u^{\otimes n}$ [Sch94, section 1.6].

Definition 2.2 (Mod-nil generator)**.** *An unstable module M is mod-nil monogeneous if there exists a mod-nil epimorphism $f : F(n) \to M$. The element $f(\imath_n)$ is called a mod-nil generator of M.*

Milnor [Mil58] established that $\mathscr{A}$ has a natural coproduct which makes it into a Hopf algebra and incorporates Thom's involution as the conjugation. The dual $\mathscr{A}^*$ of $\mathscr{A}$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k, \ldots], \ |\xi_i| = p^i - 1, \xi_0 = 1.$$

Let $R = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k, \ldots)$ be a sequence of integers with only finitely many non-trivial ones. Denote by ξ^R the product $\xi_1^{r_1}\xi_2^{r_2}\ldots\xi_k^{r_k}\ldots$ These monomials form a basis for $\mathscr{A}^*$. Let $m_n(r) \in \mathscr{A}$ denote the dual of ξ_r^n with respect to that basis.

If M is an unstable module, the completed tensor product $M\hat{\bigotimes}\mathscr{A}^*$ is the $\mathbb{F}_p-$graded vector space defined by:

$$\left(M\hat{\bigotimes}\mathscr{A}^*\right)^n = \prod_{l-k=n} M^l \otimes \left(\mathscr{A}^*\right)^k.$$

We recall how to use Milnor's coaction to determine the action of the Steenrod algebra. There is Milnor's coaction $\lambda : M \to M\hat{\bigotimes}\mathscr{A}^*$ for an unstable module M. We write $\lambda(x)$ as a formal sum $\sum_R x_R \otimes \xi^R$. Let θ be a Steenrod operation then

$$\theta x = \sum_R x_R \otimes \xi^R(\theta).$$

Milnor's coaction on a tensor product is determined as follows:

$$\lambda(x \otimes y) = \sum_R \sum_{I+J=R} (x_I \otimes y_J) \otimes \xi^R.$$

Milnor's coaction on $F(1)$ is defined by:

$$\lambda(u) = \sum_{i\geq 0} u^{p^i} \otimes \xi_i \text{ and } \lambda(u^{p^s}) = \sum_{i\geq 0} u^{p^{s+i}} \otimes \xi_i^{p^s}.$$

The following observation is easy and is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.3. *Let n be an integer then $m_n(r)\left(u^{\otimes n}\right) = \left(u^{p^r}\right)^{\otimes n}$. If $k_1, \ldots, k_m$ is a sequence of integer such that $p^{k_j} > n$ for every j then:*

$$m_n(r)\left(u^{\otimes n} \otimes u^{p^{k_1}} \otimes u^{p^{k_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{p^{k_m}}\right) = \left(u^{p^r}\right)^{\otimes n} \otimes \left(u^{p^{k_1}} \otimes u^{p^{k_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{p^{k_m}}\right).$$

The following proposition is a strengthening of Lemma 2.3:

Proposition 2.4. *Let M and N be two unstable modules. For all class $x \in M$ of degree n we have $m_n(r)(x) = \mathrm{P}^r_0(x)$. For all class $y \in M$ and all natural number k such that $p^k > n$ we have*

$$m_n(r)(x \otimes \mathrm{P}^k_0(y)) = \mathrm{P}^r_0(x) \otimes \mathrm{P}^k_0(y).$$

Proof. Consider the morphism $\varphi : F(n) \to M$ defined by $\imath_n = u^{\otimes n} \mapsto x$. Lemma 2.3 yield:

$$\begin{aligned} m_n(r)(x) &= m_n(r)\left(\varphi\left(u^{\otimes n}\right)\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(m_n(r)\left(u^{\otimes n}\right)\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(\mathrm{P}^r_0\left(u^{\otimes n}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{P}^r_0\left(\varphi\left(u^{\otimes n}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{P}^r_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by considering the morphism $\psi : \Phi^k(F(|y|)) \to N$ defined by $\mathscr{P}^{p^k}\imath_{|y|} \mapsto \mathscr{P}^{p^k} y$ and the product $\varphi \otimes \psi$, we obtain the second equality. □

3 Strict polynomial functors and Hai's functor

The main goal of this section is to recall Hai's functor and give an easy observation on the existence of its adjoint functors in this section.

Following the simple presentation introduced in [Pir03] we first recall the category of strict polynomial functors. Fix a prime number p, denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the category of $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector spaces and by $\mathcal{V}^f$ its full subcategory of spaces of finite dimension. Let n be a positive integer. Denote by $\Gamma^n(V)$ the group of invariants $(V^{\otimes n})^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$. The category $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}^f$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ob}(\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}^f) &= \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{V}^f), \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}^f}(V,W) &= \Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}^f}(V,W)). \end{aligned}$$

A homogeneous strict polynomial functor of degree d is an $\mathbb{F}_p-$linear functor from $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}^f$ to $\mathcal{V}^f$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_d$ the category of all these functors. The notation $\mathcal{P}$ stands for the direct sum $\bigoplus_{d\geq 0}\mathcal{P}_d$. A strict polynomial functor is an object of the category $\mathcal{P}$.

We now recall the parametrized version of Γ^d and S^d. For each $W \in \mathcal{V}^f$, let $\Gamma^{d,W}$ be the functor which associates to an $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector space V the $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector space $\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}^f}(W,V))$, and let $S^{d,W}$ be the functor which associates to an $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector space V the $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector space $S^d(W^\sharp \otimes V)$. Here, $W^\sharp$ stands for the linear dual of W. The $\Gamma^{d,W}$ are projective satisfying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}\left(\Gamma^{d,W}, F\right) \cong F(W)$ and the $S^{d,W}$ are injective satisfying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}\left(F, S^{d,W}\right) \cong F(W)^\sharp$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ be a sequence of integers. Denote by Γ^λ the tensor product $\Gamma^{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Gamma^{\lambda_k}$. Hai's functor $\bar{m}_d \colon \mathcal{P}_d \to \mathscr{U}$ is defined as follows. Given a strict polynomial functor F in $\mathcal{P}_d$. In degree e, $\bar{m}_d(F)$ is defined to be the vector space of natural transformations from $\bar{\Gamma}^{d;e}$ to F:

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{d;e} := \bigoplus_{\substack{|\lambda|=d \\ ||\lambda||=e}} \Gamma^\lambda, \quad \bar{m}_d(F)^e := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}\left(\bar{\Gamma}^{d;e}, F\right),$$

where $|\lambda|, ||\lambda||$ stand for $\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n$ and $\lambda_1 + p\lambda_2 + \ldots + p^{n-1}\lambda_n$ respectively. The structural morphisms are induced by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}\left(\bar{\Gamma}^{d;e}, -\right)$. In other words, the functor $\bar{m}_d$ can be defined as the evaluation on $F(1)$:

$$\bar{m}_d(G) \cong G(F(1)) \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{P}_d,$$

and the structural morphisms are defined by:

$$(\bar{m}_d)_{V,W} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(F_1, F_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}(\bar{m}_d(F_1), \bar{m}_d(F_2)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}(F_1(F(1)), F_2(F(1)))$$
$$f \mapsto f(F(1)).$$

Let $\bar{m}$ denote the induced functor from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathscr{U}$. The functor $\bar{m}$ has nice properties. It is exact and commutes with tensor products as well as Frobenius twists [Hai10, see sections 3 and 4].

The following observation is easy and is left to the reader:

Proposition 3.1. *The functor $\bar{m}_d$ admits a left adjoint denoted by l_d and a right adjoint denoted by r_d.*

4 Mod-nil generators of some unstable modules

As explained in the introduction, we prove Theorem 1.1 by comparing corresponding Hom-groups in the two categories $\mathcal{P}_d$ and $\mathscr{U}$. This computation can be reduced to a smaller class of strict polynomial functors. This class is described in the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1 ([FS97])**.** *If $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} W \geq d$ then $S^{d,W}$ is an injective generator of $\mathcal{P}_d$. The functors Γ^λ, where λ runs through the set of all sequences of integers whose sum is d, form a system of projective generators of $\mathcal{P}_d$ [FS97].*

Therefore Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *There are isomorphisms:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(\Gamma^\lambda(F(1)), S^{d,V}(F(1))\right) \cong S^{\lambda_1}(V^\sharp) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{\lambda_k}(V^\sharp)$$

for every $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k), |\lambda| = d$.

In this section we show that $\Gamma^\lambda(F(1))$ is mod-nil monogenous. The following lemma show that tensor products respect mod-nil monogenous modules.

Lemma 4.3. *If M and N are mod-nil monogeneous then so is their tensor product $M \otimes N$.*

Since $\mathrm{P}_0(x \otimes y) = \mathrm{P}_0(x) \otimes \mathrm{P}_0(y)$ then tensor products respect mod-nil epimorphisms. Therefore Lemma 4.3 is a consequence of the following lemma:

Lemma 4.4. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the module $F(n) \otimes F(m)$ is mod-nil monogeneous. More precisely, if q is a number such that $p^q > n$ then $\imath_n \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^q \imath_m$ is a mod-nil generator of $F(n) \otimes F(m)$.*

Proof. Let q be a number such that $p^q > n$ and denote $\imath_n \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^q \imath_m$ by α. We prove that α is a mod-nil generator of the tensor product $F(n) \otimes F(m)$. In other words, for each $\gamma, \beta \in \mathscr{A}$ we show that there exists a number $N_{\gamma,\beta}$ such that $\mathrm{P}_0^{N_{\gamma,\beta}}(\gamma\imath_n \otimes \beta\imath_m)$ belongs to the submodule of $F(n) \otimes F(m)$ generated by α.

The inequality $p^q > n$ allows to apply Lemma 2.3:

$$m_n^q\left(\imath_n \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^q(\theta\imath_m)\right) = \mathrm{P}_0^q(\imath_n \otimes \theta\imath_m)$$

for every Steenrod operation θ. Express θ as a sum of admissible monomials $\mathscr{P}^{i_1} \ldots \mathscr{P}^{i_k}$ and denote by θ_0 the sum of $\mathscr{P}^{p^q i_1} \ldots \mathscr{P}^{p^q i_k}$. As $p^q > n$ then

$$m_n^q\left(\imath_n \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^q(\theta\imath_m)\right) = m_n^q\theta_0(\alpha).$$

Hence $\mathrm{P}_0^q(\imath_n \otimes \theta\imath_m)$ belongs to the submodule $\mathscr{A}(\alpha)$.

It remains to show that for each admissible monomial θ, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{P}_0^N(\theta\imath_n \otimes \delta\imath_m)$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}(\alpha)$ for all Steenrod operation δ. We make an induction on the degree of θ. Since the case of

degree 0 is verified above we may proceed to the induction argument by supposing that the statement holds for all admissible monomial θ of degree less than k. Let $\mathscr{P}^I$ be an admissible monomial of degree k. For technical reason, its first term $\mathscr{P}^l$ is written separately: $\mathscr{P}^I = \mathscr{P}^l\omega$. By the Cartan formula we have

$$\mathscr{P}^l\omega\imath_n \otimes \delta\imath_m = \mathscr{P}^l(\omega\imath_n \otimes \delta\imath_m) - \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \mathscr{P}^i\omega\imath_n \otimes \mathscr{P}^{(l-i)}\delta\imath_m.$$

By induction hypothesis, there exists N such that $\mathrm{P}_0^N(\mathscr{P}^l\omega\imath_n \otimes \delta\imath_m)$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}(\alpha)$ for all Steenrod operation δ. This concludes the lemma. □

These two results yield:

Corollary 4.5. *For all sequence of natural numbers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, the module $F(\lambda)$ is mod-nil monogeneous. More precisely, if $\{\alpha_i, n \geq i \geq 2\}$ are $n-1$ integers such that $\alpha_k > \lambda_1 + p^{\alpha_2}\lambda_2 + \cdots + p^{\alpha_{k-1}}\lambda_{k-1}$ then the element $\imath_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^{\alpha_2}\imath_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^{\alpha_n}\imath_{\lambda_n}$ is a mod-nil generator of $F(\lambda)$.*

The use of mod-nil generators goes back [FS90]:

Proposition 4.6. *The element $u \otimes u^p \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{p^{n-1}}$ is a mod-nil generator of the module $F(1)^{\otimes n}$. The images of this element by the canonical projections $\bigotimes^n \to S^n$ and $\bigotimes^n \to \Lambda^n$ are therefore mod-nil generators of the modules $S^n(F(1))$ and $\Lambda^n(F(1))$ respectively.*

As mentioned before in the introduction, $S^{d,V}(F(1))$ is not $\mathcal{N}il-$closed in general. However it is reduced. This property allows to show that each morphism $F(\lambda) \to S^{d,V}(F(1))$ is determined by the image of a mod-nil generator of $F(\lambda)$ in $S^{d,V}(F(1))$.

Definition 4.7. *If $M \in \mathscr{U}$ is reduced and if $\mathrm{P}_0^n(x) = z$, we define $\sqrt[p^n]{z} = x$.*

Lemma 4.8. *Let α be a mod-nil generator of an unstable mod-nil monogeneous module M. If N is a reduced unstable module then all morphisms from M to N are determined by the image of α in N.*

Proof. Let f be a morphism from M to N and let x be an arbitrary element of M. There are a natural number n and a Steenrod operation θ such that $\mathrm{P}_0^n(x) = \theta(\alpha)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{P}_0^n(f(x)) &= f(\mathrm{P}_0^n(x)) \\ &= f(\theta(\alpha)) \\ &= \theta f(\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

As N is reduced then $f(x) = \sqrt[p^n]{\theta f(\alpha)}$. □

We are thus led to the problem of determining the subspace of $S^{d,V}(F(1))$ of all possible images of a mod-nil generator of $F(\lambda)$. Lemma 4.10 presents the desired determination. Before formulating this lemma, we fix the following notation:

Notation 4.9. *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_t)$, we denote:*

$$\omega_\alpha = \mathrm{P}_0^{\alpha_1}\imath_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^{\alpha_2}\imath_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^{\alpha_t}\imath_{\lambda_t}.$$

Let us present an example of interest. There is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p-$vector space:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(d), S^{d,V}(F(1))\right) \cong \left(S^{d,V}(F(1))\right)^d.$$

Therefore if φ is a morphism from $F(d)$ to $S^{d,V}(F(1))$ then the image $\varphi(\imath_d)$ is a sum of elements of the type $\prod_{i=1}^d s_i \otimes u$ where $s_i \in V^\sharp$. The natural transformation $\bigotimes_{j=1}^k S^{\lambda_j,V} \to S^{d,V}$ induces a morphism

$$\rho : \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(\lambda_j), S^{\lambda_j,V}(F(1))\right) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(\lambda), S^{d,V}(F(1))\right).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq t$, let f_i be a morphism from $F(\lambda_i)$ to $S^{\lambda_i,V}(F(1))$. Then the image of ω_α by $\rho\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^t f_i\right)$ is a sum of elements of simple types

$$\prod_{k=1}^{t}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_k} s_{i_k}\otimes u^{p^{\alpha_k}}\right)$$

with $s_{i_k}\in V^\sharp$. We show that every morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(\lambda), S^{d,V}(F(1))\right)$ is of this simple form.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_t)$ be a sequence of integers whose sum is d and δ be a number such that $\delta>\max\{\lambda_i\}$. Denote by α the sequence $(0,\delta,2\delta,\ldots,(t-1)\delta)$. Then the element ω_α is a mod-nil generator of $F(\lambda)$. Moreover if φ is a morphism from $F(\lambda)$ to $S^{d,V}(F(1))$ then $\varphi(\omega_\alpha)$ is a sum of elements of type*

$$\prod_{k=0}^{t-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_{k+1}} s_{i_k}\otimes u^{p^{k\delta}}\right)$$

where $s_{i_k}\in V^\sharp$.

The morphism ρ is clearly injective. Lemma 4.10 show that this morphisms is surjective as well and hence it is bijective. Since

$$\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(\lambda_j), S^{\lambda_j}(F(1)\otimes V^\sharp)\right)\cong\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k}S^{\lambda_j}(V^\sharp),$$

Lemma 4.2 is a corollary of Lemma 4.10.

5 Proof of the key lemma

As discussed in previous section, we are left with Lemma 4.10. To deal with this lemma we first investigate some combinatorial lemmas.

5.1 A combinatorial process

A morphism φ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(\lambda), S^{d,V}(F(1))\right)$ is a graded morphism. Then an easy observation on the degree of the image of a mod-nil generator of $F(\lambda)$ leads us to several combinatorial lemmas of this paragraph.

Lemma 5.1. *Let n be a number equal to a sum of $p-$powers $\sum_{i=0}^q p^{l_i}$ where $l_0\leq l_1\leq\ldots\leq l_q$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^k\lambda_i p^{m_i}$ be the $p-$decomposition of n with $m_1<m_2<\ldots<m_k$. Then there exists a partition $S_1,S_2,\ldots,S_k$ of $\{l_0,l_1,\ldots,l_q\}$ such that $\sum\limits_{j\in S_i}p^{l_j}=\lambda_i p^{m_i}$. Therefore $q+1\geq k$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on m_k. The lemma is trivial for the case $m_k=1$. Suppose that the lemma is verified for all $m_k<n$ we prove it for the case $m_k=n$.

1. If $m_1,l_0>0$, then by dividing both sides of the equality $\sum\limits_{i=1}^k\lambda_i p^{m_i}=\sum\limits_{i=1}^q p^{l_i}$ by p we return to the case $m_k=n-1$.

2. If $m_1=0$, let $a(q)$ be the index that

$$0=l_0=\ldots=l_{a(q)}<l_{a(q)+1}.$$

Because $n\equiv\lambda_1(\text{ modulo } p)$ then $a(q)-\lambda_1$ is divisible by p. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=2}^{k}\lambda_i p^{m_i}=p\left(p^{l_{\lambda_1+1}}+p^{l_{p\lambda_1+1}}+\ldots+p^{l_{a(q)-\lambda_1-p+1}}\right)+\sum_{i=a(q)+1}^{q}p^{l_i}. \tag{5.1}$$

By dividing both side of 5.1 by p we have:

$$\sum_{i=2}^{k}\lambda_i p^{m_i-1} = p^{l_{\lambda_1+1}} + p^{l_{p\lambda_1+1}} + \ldots + p^{l_{a(q)-\lambda_1-p+1}} + \sum_{i=a(q)+1}^{q} p^{l_i-1}.$$

According to induction hypothesis, we have a partition of index sets

$$\left\{l_{\lambda_1+1}, l_{p\lambda_1+1}, \ldots, l_{a(q)-\lambda_1-p+1}\right\} \cup \left\{l_{a(q)+1}-1, \ldots, l_q-1\right\}$$

into $k-1$ subsets $T_2, \ldots, T_k$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in T_i} p^j = \lambda_i p^{m_i-1}.$$

For each $i \geq 2$ we denote:

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^{'} &= T_i \cap \left\{l_{\lambda_1+1}, l_{p\lambda_1+1}, \ldots, l_{a(q)-\lambda_1-p+1}\right\}, \\ T_i^{'} &= T_i \cap \left\{l_{a(q)+1}-1, \ldots, l_q-1\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We write $S_1 = \{l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{\lambda_1}\}$ and

$$S_i = S_i^{'} \cup \left\{l_{pj\lambda_1+2}, l_{pj\lambda_1+3}, \ldots, l_{pj\lambda_1+p} \,\middle|\, l_{pj\lambda_1+1} \in S_i^{'}\right\} \cup \left\{l+1 \,\middle|\, l \in T_i^{'}\right\}, 2 \leq i \leq k,$$

then $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ is a partition for the case $m_k = n$.

□

As a special case of Lemma 5.1, we have:

Corollary 5.2. *Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ be a pairwise distinct sequence of positive natural numbers. We suppose further that the $p-$adic decompositions of two distinct numbers of the sequence have no common $p-$power. Then if the equality $\sum_{i=1}^{q} p^{l_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j$ holds, there exists a partition $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ of $\{1, \ldots, q\}$ such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$ we have $\sum_{j\in S_i} p^{l_j} = a_i$.*

In order to prove Lemma 4.10, we need to determine the images in $S^d(F(1)\otimes V^\sharp)$ of the element

$$\omega_\alpha = \imath_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^{\delta}\imath_{\lambda_2} \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^{2\delta}\imath_{\lambda_3} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{P}_0^{(t-1)\delta}\imath_{\lambda_t}.$$

Because the degree of ω_α is equal to that of its image, we obtain an equality:

$$\lambda_1 + p^\delta\lambda_2 + p^{2\delta}\lambda_3 + \cdots + p^{(t-1)\delta}\lambda_t = p^{l_1} + \cdots + p^{l_d}.$$

The following lemma supplies a first determination of l_i basing on this equality.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that the sum is equal to d and δ be a number such that $\delta > \max\{\lambda_i\}$ and that the $p-$adic length of $p^\delta - t$ is strictly greater than d for $t \leq dp^{\lambda_i} - \lambda_i$. We suppose furthermore that the following identity holds:*

$$\lambda_1 + p^\delta\lambda_2 + p^{2\delta}\lambda_3 + \cdots + p^{(t-1)\delta}\lambda_t = p^{l_1} + \cdots + p^{l_d},$$

$l = (l_1, \ldots, l_d)$ denotes an ascending sequence. Then there exists a unique partition of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ into t subsets E_i such that:

- *For all i we have*

$$p^{(i-1)\delta}\lambda_i = \sum_{h\in E_i} p^{l_h};$$

- *Each subset E_i is a sequence of successive natural numbers $\{k, \ldots, k+r\}$;*
- $\mathrm{card}(E_1) \leq \lambda_1$.

Proof. The condition $\delta > \max\{\lambda_i\}$ allows to apply Lemma 5.2.

Let τ be the first index such that $p^{l_\tau} \leq \lambda_1 < p^{l_{\tau+1}}$. We show that $\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p^{l_i} = \lambda_1$. The equality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p^{l_i}\right) - \lambda_1 = p^\delta\left(\lambda_2 + p^\delta\lambda_3 + \cdots + p^{(t-2)\delta}\lambda_t\right) - \sum_{i=\tau+1}^{d} p^{l_i}$$

guarantees that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p^{l_i}\right) - \lambda_1$ is divisible by p^{λ_1}. It follows that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p^{l_i}\right) \geq \lambda_1$. If the inequality is strict, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p^{l_i}\right) - \lambda_1 \leq dp^{\lambda_1} - \lambda_1.$$

Hence the $p-$adic length of the sum

$$p^\delta\left(\lambda_2 + p^\delta\lambda_3 + \cdots + p^{(t-2)\delta}\lambda_t\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p^{l_i}\right) + \lambda_1$$

is greater than $d+1$. This contradicts the fact that d is the upper bound of the $p-$adic length of $\sum_{i=\tau+1}^{d} p^{l_i}$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p^{l_i} = \lambda_1$. We can then choose $E_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, \tau\}$. The rest of the lemma can be proved in the same manner by using Corollary 5.2.

It follows from the equalities

$$p^{(i-1)\delta}\lambda_i = \sum_{h \in E_i} p^{l_h}$$

that $\mathrm{card}(E_i) \leq p^{(i-1)\delta}\lambda_i$ and in particular, $\mathrm{card}(E_1) \leq \lambda_1$. □

It remains to prove that $\mathrm{card}(E_i) = \lambda_i$ to complete the proof of Lemma 4.10. Since

$$\sum_i \mathrm{card}(E_i) = \sum_i \lambda_i,$$

it suffices to show that $\mathrm{card}(E_i) \leq \lambda_i$ for all i. Unfortunately, combinatorial arguments are not enough to reach the conclusion. We need to make use of the action of Steenrod algebra in order to realize these inequalities.

5.2 Proof

The proof of the key lemma now goes as follows.

Proof of Lemma 4.10. The image ω_α is a sum of elements of the type $\prod_{i=1}^{d} u^{p^{l_i}} \otimes v_i$ in $S^d(F(1) \otimes V^\sharp)$. Therefore, the following equality holds:

$$\lambda_1 + p^\delta\lambda_2 + p^{2\delta}\lambda_3 + \cdots + p^{(t-1)\delta}\lambda_t = p^{l_1} + \cdots + p^{l_d}$$

We now show that in $\prod_{i=1}^{d} u^{p^{l_i}} \otimes v_i$, u appears λ_1 times, u^{p^δ} appears λ_2 times and so on, $u^{p^{(i-1)\delta}}$ appears λ_i times. Lemma 5.3 implies that u appears at most λ_1 times. By showing the same result for all i we get $\mathrm{card}(E_i) = \lambda_i$ and the proof is completed.

It follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$m_{\lambda_1}(t\delta)\left(\omega_{(0,\delta,2\delta,\ldots,(t-1)\delta)}\right) = \omega_{(t\delta,\delta,\ldots,(t-1)\delta)}.$$

On the other hands

$$\omega_{(t\delta,\delta,\ldots,(t-1)\delta)} = \mathrm{P}_0^{\delta}\omega_{((t-1)\delta,0,\delta,\ldots,(t-2)\delta)}.$$

We denote by k_1 the index such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} p^{l_i} = \lambda_1$. Because

$$\sum_{i=k_1+1}^{d} p^{l_i} = p^{\delta}\left(\lambda_2 + p^{\delta}\lambda_3 + \cdots + p^{(t-2)\delta}\lambda_t\right)$$

we must have $p^{l_{k_1+1}} > \lambda_1$. Otherwise, the $p-$adic length of $p^{\delta} - p^{l_{k_1+1}}$ is greater than d. Follow Proposition 2.4, we obtain:

$$m_{\lambda_1}(t\delta)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} u^{p^{l_i}} \otimes v_i\right) = \mathrm{P}_0^{t\delta}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} u^{p^{l_i}} \otimes v_i\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1+k_1}^{d} u^{p^{l_i}} \otimes v_i$$

Because every morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}\left(F(\lambda), S^{d,V}(F(1))\right)$ is $\mathscr{A}-$linear, it follows from

$$m_{\lambda_1}(t\delta)\left(\omega_{(0,\delta,2\delta,\ldots,(t-1)\delta)}\right) = \mathrm{P}_0^{\delta}\omega_{((t-1)\delta,0,\delta,\ldots,(t-2)\delta)}$$

that $l_i \geq \delta$ for all $i > k_1$. Moreover $p^{\delta}\lambda_2 = \sum_{i\in E_2} p^{l_i}$ hence the equality $\lambda_2 = \sum_{i\in E_2} p^{l_i-\delta}$ holds. It means that $\mathrm{Card}(E_2) \leq \lambda_2$. Similarly, by considering the elements

$$m_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\ldots+\lambda_i}(t\delta)\left(\omega_{(0,\delta,2\delta,\ldots,(t-1)\delta)}\right)$$

we obtain the inequalities $\mathrm{Card}(E_{i+1}) \leq \lambda_{i+1}$. This concludes the lemma. □

References

[Cuo14] Nguyen The Cuong. *Some homological algebra computations in the category of unstable modules.* Theses, Université Paris 13, July 2014.

[FFSS99] Vincent Franjou, Eric M. Friedlander, Alexander Scorichenko, and Andrei Suslin. General linear and functor cohomology over finite fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 150(2):663–728, 1999.

[FS90] V. Franjou and L. Schwartz. Reduced unstable $\mathcal{A}$-modules and the modular representation theory of the symmetric groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 23(4):593–624, 1990.

[FS97] Eric M. Friedlander and Andrei Suslin. Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field. *Invent. Math.*, 127(2):209–270, 1997.

[Hai10] Nguyen Dang Ho Hai. Foncteurs polynomiaux stricts et modules instables sur l'algèbre de Steenrod. *J. Algebra*, 324(4):860–874, 2010.

[HLS93] Hans-Werner Henn, Jean Lannes, and Lionel Schwartz. The categories of unstable modules and unstable algebras over the Steenrod algebra modulo nilpotent objects. *Amer. J. Math.*, 115(5):1053–1106, 1993.

[Mil58] John Milnor. The Steenrod algebra and its dual. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:150–171, 1958.

[Pir03] Teimuraz Pirashvili. Introduction to functor homology. In *Rational representations, the Steenrod algebra and functor homology*, volume 16 of *Panor. Synthèses*, pages 1–26. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003.

[Sch94] Lionel Schwartz. *Unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra and Sullivan's fixed point set conjecture.* Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1994.

[Ser53] Jean-Pierre Serre. Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d'Eilenberg-MacLane. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 27:198–232, 1953.

[Ste62] N. E. Steenrod. *Cohomology operations*. Lectures by N. E. STeenrod written and revised by D. B. A. Epstein. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 50. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1962.

Département de Mathématiques
LAGA - Université Paris 13
99 Avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément
93430 Villetaneuse

LIAFV - CNRS
Formath Vietnam

E-mail address, T. C. NGUYEN: tdntcuong@gmail.com or nguyentc@math.univ-paris13.fr